CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the attention of Examiner Chakrabarti, Group Art Unit 1634, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office to Facsimile No. 703-305-3014 on February 10, 2003.

Margaret M. Hasson

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Matthew R. Kaser

Title:

GENES EXPRESSED IN TREATED HUMAN C3A LIVER CELL CULTURES

Serial No.:

09/919,039

Filing Date:

July 30, 2001

Examiner:

Chakrabarti, K.

Group Art Unit: 1634

Box Non-Fee Amendment

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

### TRANSMITTAL FEE SHEET

Sir:

Transmitted herewith are the following for the above-identified application:

1. Return Receipt Postcard;

2. Response to Restriction Requirement (3 pp.); and

3. Revocation and Power of Attorney (2 pp.).

The fee has been calculated as shown below.

Claims	Chima After Améndment		Cinima Proviously Pold Par	=	Pradojs Predojs	Other Small I			Additional Pe#(a)
lator!	21	.	21		0	x\$19.00	0	5	
ndept	3		3		0	x\$84.00		s	
First Presentation of Multiple Dependent Claims						+280.00	0	\$	D 0
							Total Fce:	\$	0

X No additional Fee is required.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees required under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17, or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 09-0108.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: Februar 10, 2003

INCYTE GENOMICS, INC.

David G. Streeter, Ph.D.

Reg. No. 43,168

Direct Dial Telephone: (650) 845-5741

Customer No.: 27904 3160 Porter Drive

Palo Alto, California 94304

Phone: (650) 855-0555 Fax: (650) 845-4166

105780

1

I hereby certify that this paper a peng facsimile transmitted to the attention of Examiner Chakrabarti, Group Art Unit 1634, U.S. Patent and Trademprit Office to Facsimile No. 703-305-3014 on February 10, 2003.

Printed: Margaret M. Hasson

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Matthew R. Kaser

Title:

GENES EXPRESSED IN TREATED HUMAN C3A LIVER CELL CULTURES

Serial No.:

09/919,039

Filing Date:

July 30, 2001

Examiner:

Chakrabarti, K.

Group Art Unit:

1634

### Box Non-Fee Amendment

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

# RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT UNDER 35 U.S.C. 121

Sir:

This paper is responsive to the Restriction Requirement and Request for Election dated January 10, 2003, setting a one (1) month term for response.

## Restriction Requirement

In the Restriction Requirement, the Examiner requested Applicants to elect one of the following inventions:

Group I (claims 1-6 and 12-14) drawn to nucleic acids.

Group II (claims 7-11) drawn to a method of nucleic acid hybridization.

Group III (claims 15-16) drawn to a method of production of protein.

Group IV (claims 17-20) drawn to use of a protein.

Group V (claim 21) drawn to a protein.

The Examiner further stated that claims 1-11, 12-20 and 21 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising 401 structurally and therefore patentably distinct species for claims 1-11, 18 structurally and therefore patentably distinct species for claims 12-20, and

3 structurally and therefore patentably distinct species for claim 21. Applicant is therefore required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for each appropriately elected group, even though this requirement be traversed.

Applicants hereby elect, with traverse, to prosecute Group I, which includes and is drawn to claims 1-6 and 12-14. Within this group, applicants further elect the nucleic acid species of SEQ ID NO:323 encoding the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:324, again with traverse.

The Examiner is reminded that proper restriction requires the following two conditions be met according to MPEP 803:

### Restriction-When Proper:

There are two criteria for a proper requirement for restriction between patentably distinct inventions:

- (A) The inventions must be independent (see MPEP Section 802.01 Section 806.04, Section 808.01) or distinct as claimed (see MPEP Section 806.05 Section 806.05(i)); and
- (B) There must be a <u>serious burden</u> on the examiner if restriction is required (see MPEP Section 803.02 Section 806.04(a) Section 806.04(i), Section 808.01(a), and Section 808.02). (Emphasis added.)

While patentable distinctiveness <u>may</u> have been established for some of the sequences recited the Examiner has clearly not established that there would be a serious burden of search in examining more than a single sequence in each of the elected groups. Applicants further submit that claims 7-11 of Group II and claim 15 of Group III are all methods of use of the polynucleotides of Group I that could be examined together with the composition of matter claims of Group I without undue burden. Applicants also point out that claim 16 of Group II and claim 21 of Group V are both drawn to a protein and should also be examined together.

Applicants therefore request reconsideration of the Restriction Requirement and examination of claims 1-15 with respect to a reasonable number of species (i.e., at least five species). Applicants reserve the right to prosecute the subject matter of non-elected claims in subsequent divisional applications.

Applicants believe that no fee is due with this communication. However, if the USPTO determines that a fee is due, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 09-0108.

Respectfully submitted,

INCYTE GENOMICS, INC.

Date: Filmy 10, 2003

David G. Streeter, Ph.D.

Reg. No. 43,168

Direct Dial Telephone. (650) 845-5741

3160 Porter Drive

Palo Alto, California 94304

Phone: (650) 855-0555